

OCIO 21-23 Supplemental Biennium IT Decision Package Funding Recommendation Report

Authorized by RCW 43.88.092 and 43.105.240

Table of Contents

Introduction: Navigating this document	5
Background and Methodology	5
Screening DPs for prioritization	5
DP prioritization criteria and process	6
Funding Recommendations	7
Gated Funding Recommendations	8
One Washington Considerations	8
Decision Package Themes	8
HHS Coalition Agency DPs	9
Ranked Prioritization List	10
Human Services - Other	14
Health Care Authority – Agency 107	14
FD - Electronic Consent Management	14
DT - Trueblood Data	14
FG - Community Information Exchange	14
FW - SEBB Maintenance and Operations	15
HC - EHR Expansion	15
HV - Clinical Data Repository	15
Department of Labor and Industries - Agency 235	16
LC - LCAP Technology Budget Adjustment	16
AR - Apprenticeship Technology	16
BT - Workers' Comp System Modernization	17
MH - Mental Health Claims	17

PW - Prevailing Wage Program 18 Department of Health - Agency 303 18 M3 - HELMS Project Budget 18 QE - Upgrade Drinking Water System 18 QF - Upgrade Medical Cannabis Registry 15 QG - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula 15 Department of Veteran Affairs - Agency 305 26 P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure 26 Department of Corrections - Agency 310 26 DX - Telepresence Services 26 EA - Custody Classification 26 EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module 21 EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response 21 Employment Security Department - Agency 540 21 WS - WorkSource System Replacement 21 wornmental Operations 23 Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085 23 03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv 23 08 - Automating State Library Collection 23 08 - Automating State Library Collection 23 08 - Great Agency 100 23 MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure 24 Depar	PL - Plumber License Implementation	17
M3 - HELMS Project Budget 18 QE - Upgrade Drinking Water System 18 QF - Upgrade Medical Cannabis Registry 19 QG - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula 15 Department of Veteran Affairs – Agency 305 20 P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure 20 Department of Corrections- Agency 310 20 DX - Telepresence Services 20 EA - Custody Classification 20 EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module 21 EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response 21 WS - WorkSource System Replacement 21 WS - WorkSource System Replacement 21 Overnmental Operations 23 Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085 23 03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv 23 08 - Automating State Library Collection 23 Office of the Attorney General - Agency 100 23 MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management 23 MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure 24 Department of Remement System - Agency 124 24 RB - Implement Roth 457 24 SV - Implement Roth 457 24	PW - Prevailing Wage Program	18
QE - Upgrade Drinking Water System 18 QF - Upgrade Medical Cannabis Registry 19 QG - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula 19 Department of Veteran Affairs – Agency 305 20 P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure 20 Department of Corrections- Agency 310 20 DX - Telepresence Services 20 EA - Custody Classification 20 EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module 21 EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response 21 Employment Security Department- Agency 540 21 W5 - WorkSource System Replacement 21 overnmental Operations 23 Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085 23 03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv 23 08 - Automating State Library Collection 23 Office of the Attorney General - Agency 100 23 MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management 23 MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure 24 Department of Commerce - Agency 103 24 B3 - SBO Digital Equity 24 Department of Retirement System - Agency 124 24 RH - Implement Roth 457 24	Department of Health - Agency 303	18
QF - Upgrade Medical Cannabis Registry 19 QG - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula 19 Department of Veteran Affairs – Agency 305. 20 P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure 20 Department of Corrections- Agency 310 20 DX - Telepresence Services 20 EA - Custody Classification 20 EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module 21 EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response 21 Employment Security Department- Agency 540 21 WS - WorkSource System Replacement 21 overnmental Operations 23 Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085 23 03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv 23 08 - Automating State Library Collection 23 Office of the Attorney General - Agency 100 23 MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management 23 MJ - Egal Case Management Infrastructure 24 Department of Commerce - Agency 103 24 83 - SBO Digital Equity 24 Department of Retirement System - Agency 124 24 SV - Implement Roth 457 24 SV - Implement Survivor Option Change 25	M3 - HELMS Project Budget	18
QG - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula 19 Department of Veteran Affairs – Agency 305. 20 P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure 20 Department of Corrections- Agency 310 20 DX - Telepresence Services 20 EA - Custody Classification 20 EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module 21 EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response 21 Employment Security Department- Agency 540 21 WS - WorkSource System Replacement 21 overnmental Operations 23 Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085 23 03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv 23 08 - Automating State Library Collection 23 Office of the Attorney General - Agency 100 23 MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management 23 MJ - Egal Case Management Infrastructure 24 Department of Commerce - Agency 103 24 B3 - SBO Digital Equity 24 Department of Retirement System - Agency 124 24 RH - Implement Roth 457 24 SV - Implement Survivor Option Change 25 Department of Revenue - Agency 140 25	QE - Upgrade Drinking Water System	18
Department of Veteran Affairs – Agency 305	QF - Upgrade Medical Cannabis Registry	19
P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure	QG - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula	19
Department of Corrections- Agency 310 20 DX - Telepresence Services 20 EA - Custody Classification 20 EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module 21 EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response 21 Employment Security Department- Agency 540 21 WS - WorkSource System Replacement 21 overnmental Operations 23 Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085 23 03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv 23 08 - Automating State Library Collection 23 Office of the Attorney General - Agency 100 23 MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management 23 MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure 24 Department of Commerce - Agency 103 24 B3 - SBO Digital Equity 24 Department of Retirement System - Agency 124 24 RH - Implement Roth 457 24 SV - Implement Survivor Option Change 25 Department of Revenue - Agency 140 25 WF - WFTC Implementation Support 25 Consolidated Technology Services - Agency 163 25	Department of Veteran Affairs – Agency 305	20
DX - Telepresence Services 2C EA - Custody Classification 2C EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module 21 EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response 21 Employment Security Department- Agency 540 21 WS - WorkSource System Replacement 21 overnmental Operations 23 Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085 23 03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv 23 08 - Automating State Library Collection 23 Office of the Attorney General - Agency 100 23 MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management 23 MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure 24 Department of Commerce - Agency 103 24 B3 - SBO Digital Equity 24 Department of Retirement System - Agency 124 24 RH - Implement Roth 457 24 SV - Implement Survivor Option Change 25 Department of Revenue - Agency 140 25 WF - WFTC Implementation Support 25 Consolidated Technology Services - Agency 163 25 B6 - Enterprise Cloud Computing 25 B5 - Washington Enterprise Architecture 26 <td>P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure</td> <td>20</td>	P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure	20
EA - Custody Classification	Department of Corrections- Agency 310	20
EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module	DX - Telepresence Services	20
EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response	EA - Custody Classification	20
Employment Security Department- Agency 540	EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module	21
WS - WorkSource System Replacement	EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response	21
overnmental Operations23Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 0852303 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv2308 - Automating State Library Collection23Office of the Attorney General - Agency 10023MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management23MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure24Department of Commerce - Agency 10324B3 - SBO Digital Equity24Department of Retirement System - Agency 12424RH - Implement Roth 45724SV - Implement Survivor Option Change25Department of Revenue - Agency 14025WF - WFTC Implementation Support25Consolidated Technology Services - Agency 16325B6 - Enterprise Cloud Computing25B5 - Washington Enterprise Architecture26	Employment Security Department- Agency 540	21
Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085	WS - WorkSource System Replacement	21
03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv	Governmental Operations	23
08 - Automating State Library Collection23Office of the Attorney General – Agency 10023MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management23MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure24Department of Commerce - Agency 10324B3 - SBO Digital Equity24Department of Retirement System - Agency 12424RH - Implement Roth 45724SV - Implement Survivor Option Change25Department of Revenue - Agency 14025WF - WFTC Implementation Support25Consolidated Technology Services - Agency 16325B6 - Enterprise Cloud Computing25B5 - Washington Enterprise Architecture26	Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085	23
Office of the Attorney General – Agency 100	03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv	23
MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management	08 - Automating State Library Collection	23
MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure	Office of the Attorney General – Agency 100	23
Department of Commerce - Agency 103	MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management	23
B3 - SBO Digital Equity	MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure	24
Department of Retirement System - Agency 124	Department of Commerce - Agency 103	24
RH - Implement Roth 457	B3 - SBO Digital Equity	24
SV - Implement Survivor Option Change	Department of Retirement System - Agency 124	24
Department of Revenue - Agency 140	RH - Implement Roth 457	24
WF - WFTC Implementation Support	SV - Implement Survivor Option Change	25
Consolidated Technology Services - Agency 163	Department of Revenue - Agency 140	25
B6 - Enterprise Cloud Computing	WF - WFTC Implementation Support	25
B5 - Washington Enterprise Architecture	Consolidated Technology Services - Agency 163	25
·	B6 - Enterprise Cloud Computing	25
NITIAL DECISION PACKAGE RECOMMENDATIONS PAGE 17	B5 - Washington Enterprise Architecture	

Liquor and Cannabis Board - Agency 195	26
B1 - Modernization of Regulatory Systems	26
Education	27
Superintendent of Public Instruction – Agency 350	27
A9 -Updating the School Funding System	27
Workforce Training Board – Agency 354	27
CB - Career Bridge Modernization	27
DS - Integrated Data Sharing	27
Western Washington University – Agency 380	28
2C - Student Retention and Success	28
Washington State Arts Commission – Agency 387	28
FS - Replace Constituent Mgmt Software	28
Human Services - Department of Social and Health Services	29
Department of Social and Health Services - Agency 300	29
RZ - Critical IT Pharmacy Resources	29
3R - Infra Improv & Cloud Migration	29
5E - LTSS Trust	29
FL - ACES Stabilization	30
PM - ABD and HEN Human Trafficking	30
PB - National Accuracy Clearinghouse	30
PQ - Integrated Eligibility System	31
KC - SILAS Leave Attendance Scheduling	31
KS - PSRP Information Security	31
KP - Fleet Management System	32
DG - HCBS FMAP Priorities	32
GJ - Confidential Client Data Protection	32
GK - IT Strategic Roadmap	33
GD - Network Risk Mitigation	33
Natural Resources and Recreation	32
Department of Ecology - Agency 461	32
KM - Fix & Modernize WQ Permit Systems	34
KP - Improved Stream Mapping	34
KZ - Hazardous Waste & Toxics IT Systems	



State Parks and Recreation Commission - Agency 465	35
CS - Cloud Services	35
WS - Outward Facing Website	35
Department of Fish and Wildlife - Agency 477	35
SR - Salmon Recovery and GMA Integration	35
ST - Building Salmon Team Capacity	36
Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office - Agency 468	36
C1 - ELUHO New Case Management System	36
Puget Sound Partnership - Agency 478	36
02 - Restore Salmon Runs	36
Department of Natural Resources - Agency 490	37
LI - Statewide Lidar Acquisition/Refresh	37
AM - Adaptive Mgmt Program Improvements	37
Transportation	38
Washington State Patrol - Agency 225	38
H5 - Operational Performance Reporting	38
Decision Packages Not Scored	39
M&O Screened Out	39
No IT Addendum	<i>/</i> 11



Introduction: Navigating this document

The Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO) is required by RCW 43.88.092 to evaluate proposed information technology budget requests and establish priority rankings of the proposals. Additionally, RCW 43.105.240 states "the office shall submit recommendations for funding all or part of these requests to the director of financial management."

This document provides both a priority ranking of proposed decision packages (DP) and funding, and gating recommendations for decision packages containing information technology (IT) budget requests for the FY21-23 supplemental biennial budget. For decision packages that contain both IT and non-IT costs, the OCIO recommendations apply solely to the IT portion of the DP.

Information on decision packages (DPs) prioritization is included in the Background and Methodology section along with a brief overview of the OCIO process. Details about the categories of funding and gating recommendations are also in the Background and Methodology section.

The report provides three tables presenting the results of the OCIO's review

- Table 1 provides the ranked priority list of decision packages along with funding and gating recommendations.
- Table 2 provides a listing of additional decision packages for which an IT Addendum was submitted but
 was not ranked. With few exceptions, the technology portion of these requests are for maintenance and
 operations (M & O)
- Table 3 provides a listing of decision packages which were not identified as having IT components and did not submit an IT Addendum but appear to include some IT costs. Generally, these costs appear to be associated with M & O.

This preliminary report identifies decision packages and IT addendums contained in the Agency Budget System (ABS) as of September 28, 2021. An updated and final version of this report will be provided subsequent to the release of the Governor's budget. The final version will contain information about additional decision packages submitted and/or identified for prioritization after that date.

Background and Methodology

Screening DPs for prioritization

Agencies were asked to identify DPs with an IT component and to attach an IT Addendum. As part of the IT Addendum, agencies were requested to provide documentation of the IT portion of the decision package.

Of the 127 DPs with an IT component, there are many instances where the agency didn't complete an IT Addendum and/or provide an IT cost breakout. Responses in the IT Addendum provide a view into:

- Proposed investments that may include administrative and/or financial systems for evaluation of overlap with One Washington or other centrally managed, enterprise systems. Projects must be approved by the OCIO and OFM to move forward.
- Proposed investments in equipment or facilities in any agency data center. These investments would require policy waivers if valid or would have a "do not fund' recommendation.



Projects proposed by Health and Human Services (HHS) Coalition agencies. This ensures that HHS
governance processes have screened the submissions. Proposed investments that were not screened
or endorsed as part of that process would have a 'do not fund' recommendation.

The IT Addendum contains questions used to screen IT DPs for prioritization and identify those that are clearly M & O. IT DPs that answered "No" to each of the following questions are not reviewed and prioritized:

- Does the decision package fund the acquisition or expansion of computer hardware capacity?
- Does the decision package fund the development or acquisition of a new or enhanced software solution or service?
 - Do you expect the proposed solution to exchange information with AFRS or the One Washington solution?
 - o Does the investment renew or procure facial recognition service?
- Does the decision package fund the continuation of a project that is, or will be, under OCIO oversight?

Of the 127 decision packages, 64 involved IT projects or other investments that warranted prioritization.

There are two new non-scored sections in this report containing tables of the remaining DPs that were not prioritized:

- **M & O not scored:** These DPs request increases in existing licensing, increased FTEs associated with general work but not a specific project, ongoing project costs, etc. Included in this section are technology costs that are incidental to opening a facility.
- DPs with no IT Addendum: These DPs appear to have IT cost components and no IT Addendum.

In October 2021, the OCIO completed an analysis of DPs with an IT component submitted on or before the Office of Financial Management's (OFM) budget submittal deadline of September 13. This initial funding recommendation report provides the result of that analysis. The OCIO will work with the OFM to identify any IT DPs submitted after the deadline or otherwise missed. These additional DPs will be included in the final report provided to the Legislature soon after the release of the Governor's budget.

DP prioritization criteria and process

The criteria used to evaluate and prioritize proposed investments is broken into three major categories: Agency Readiness, Technical Alignment, and Business Alignment. The criteria listed in Figure 1 below correspond to questions in the IT Addendum. They are based on industry best practice, statewide technology policy and strategy and lessons learned from prior state projects.

Figure 1 – IT Decision Package evaluation criteria

Agency Readiness	Technical Alignment	Business Alignment
Due diligence	Strategic alignment	Business driven technology
Governance and management	Technical alignment	Measurable business outcomes
Planning and readiness	Reuse and interoperability	



Each criterion was assigned equal weight: 12.5% of the final score. From a category perspective, the agency readiness and technical assessment categories each account for 37.5% of the total score while the business alignment category accounts for 25% of the score.

Each proposed investment was separately assessed for urgency.

Urgency									
Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 0					
Investment addresses a currently unmet, time sensitive legal mandate or addresses audit findings. Or Proposed investment continues a project already underway and not anticipated to be at a logical stopping point at the end of the biennium.	Investment addresses imminent failure of a system or infrastructure and will assuage that issue.	Investment addresses an agency's technical debt of aging systems and provides an opportunity for modernization.	Investment provides an opportunity to improve services but does not introduce new capability or address imminent risks.	No response provided					

The ranked list notes the urgency level assigned to each DP based on the content and Addendum.

Funding Recommendations

The OCIO made four types of funding recommendations surrounding these DPs. While a high score in the ranked list likely indicates a funding recommendation, this is not a hard and fast rule. Conversely, low scores do not automatically indicate a "do not fund" recommendation. The types of funding recommendations are defined in the list below:

- **Fully Fund as Written:** The agency has demonstrated adequate project planning in the DP narrative. The OCIO takes no issue with the project plan as proposed and it is likely to succeed if it is funded as written.
- **Fund with Considerations:** The DP contains most factors for success but may be lacking in key areas. DPs receiving this type of recommendation fit into roughly two categories:
 - 1. Packages lack sufficient funding in key areas, such as external quality assurance, resources, or project management.
 - 2. Packages that require additional detail to evaluate or would benefit from more project planning in the time leading up to securing funding. The OCIO still feels that these packages can succeed, but they need additional resources or planning to ensure success.
- **Partially Fund:** Packages with this recommendation have portions that can be easily implemented if funding is secured, or a smaller, more incremental approach has been recommended for funding.



• **Do Not Fund as Written:** Packages with this recommendation lack appropriate detail in the request to be successful or are proposing something so strategically misaligned that the OCIO cannot recommend funding them as they are written.

Within a DPs funding recommendation, the OCIO may include comments on how well an agency addressed these evaluation factors. The Office also provides any thoughts or concerns it may have about a proposal.

Gated Funding Recommendations

The OCIO made three types of recommendations for Gated funding surrounding these DPs. The gating recommendations apply only to the IT portion of a request.

- **Yes:** This investment is likely to benefit from the oversight process and a gated funding approach. These kinds of investments are generally projects such as feasibility or implementation efforts. These efforts tend to be higher cost and longer duration and generally moderate to high risk.
- No: This investment appears to be low risk and not otherwise likely to fall under oversight or where the
 value of gated funding and oversight is unlikely to offset the associated administrative overhead.
 Investments in this category tend to be one-time investments or short duration investments in existing
 systems or technologies.
- **Partially Gated:** Some decision packages bundled different types of investments together. The recommendation for partial gating is used where only a portion of the DP seems to be at a risk level that it would benefit from gated funding and associated oversight.

One Washington Considerations

One Washington must be considered the state's top business and technology priority due to the importance and scope of the program in transforming the states finance and HR processes and technology. In determining which decision packages to fund, the OCIO recommends hard questions be asked of the agencies on the current state of business and system readiness activities and the impacts of these funding requests on future agency readiness.

Decision Package Themes

Several themes were identified during the review of decision packages. These include multiple agencies proposing investment in:

- Solutions to address data and data management concerns. This highlights the near term need for development of an enterprise approach to data management.
- Data analytics, including in mapping and geospatial information systems. This indicates opportunity for more cross-agency or enterprise level collaboration on platforms and shared data.
- Movement to cloud technology. This is the preferred direction for the state and highlights the need for an enterprise approach (as proposed in the Enterprise Cloud Computing decision package) to enable coordinated, cost effective and secure.



 Continued efforts towards modernization of agency portfolios. This is closely tied to adoption of cloudbased technologies, and data platform needs. Most agency portfolios will require investment in legacy applications to migrate to the cloud, optimize in the cloud or develop more modern cloud-based architectures.

HHS Coalition Agency DPs

Table 1 lists the HHS Coalition DPs for the Coalition agencies. Based on established processes, decision packages have been screened to determine which would have Coalition governance and which would be governed by an agency. OCIO level oversight is determined by a separate process and not reflected in this Table

The volume, size and complexity of the IT requests raise concerns around the ability of the agencies to be successful in a relative short period of time without further prioritization based on risk, strategy, and readiness factors. Some of the DPs may need to be pushed to a the 2023 – 2025 biennium.

Table 1 - HHS Coalition Agency DPs							
Agency and Decision Package Name	Requested Budget	IT Budget	Comments				
DOH - HELMS Project Budget	6,257,000	6,500,000	Agency Governance				
DOH -Maintain Core Public Health Systems	19,088,000	5,173,596	Agency Governance				
DOH - Upgrade Drinking Water System	1,034,000	769,254	Agency Governance				
DOH - Upgrade Medical Cannabis Registry	2,101,000		Agency Governance				
DOH - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula	6,178,000	5,609,000	Agency Governance				
			Related to the Foster Parent				
			Application Portal project which is				
			governed by the Coalition and the				
			Mandatory Reporter Online portal				
DCVF IT Catad Baal Funding Transfer	1 471 000	1 471 000	project which is governed by the				
DCYF - IT Gated Pool Funding Transfer	1,471,000	1,471,000	agency Coalition Governance				
HCA - Electronic Consent Management	2,272,000	2,930,000					
HCA - Trueblood Data	1,953,000	1,169,000	Agency Governance				
HCA - Community Information Exchange	14,848,000	15,000,000	Coalition Governance				
HCA - SEBB Maintenance and Operations	971,000	229,000	Agency Governance				
HCA - EHR Expansion	12,770,000	12,770,000	Coalition Governance				
HCA - Clinical Data Repository	2,052,000	3,000,000	Agency Governance				
DSHS - Critical IT Pharmacy Resources	1,587,000	850,000	Agency Governance				
DSHS - Infra Improv & Cloud Migration	1,320,000	1,320,000	Agency Governance				
DSHS - LTSS Trust	3,070,000	780,000	Coalition Governance				
DSHS - ACES Stabilization	785,000	785,000	Coalition Governance				
DSHS - ABD and HEN Human Trafficking	207,000	190,000	Agency Governance				
DSHS - National Accuracy Clearinghouse	2,584,000	1,541,000	Agency Governance				
DSHS - Integrated Eligibility System	16,285,000	16,285,000	Coalition Governance				



Table 1 - HHS Coalition Agency DPs								
Agency and Decision Package Name	Requested Budget	IT Budget	Comments					
DSHS - SILAS Leave Attendance Scheduling	10,631,000	5,827,000	Agency Governance					
DSHS - PSRP Information Security	588,000		Agency Governance					
DSHS - Fleet Management System	425,000	425,000	Agency Governance					
			Specific technology projects have not					
DSHS - HCBS FMAP Priorities	324,490,000		been identified					
DSHS - Confidential Client Data Protection	609,000	609,000	Agency Governance					
DSHS - IT Strategic Roadmap	1,185,000	1,185,000	Agency Governance					
DSHS - Network Risk Mitigation	6,203,000	6,203,000	Agency Governance					

Table 1 - HHS Coalition Agency DPs

Ranked Prioritization List

Table 2 provides the results of the prioritization activity and ranks DPs from 1 to 64. Following the table is a section that is grouped by the function of government categories and provides more details on the recommendations.

Table 2 - Ranked List of DPs with IT							
Agency and Decision Package Name	Score	Overall Rank	Funding Recommendation	Requested Budget	IT Portion of Budget	Urgency Score	Gated Funding Recommendation
CTS - Enterprise Cloud							
Computing	0.83	1	Fully Fund	4,333,000	4,333,000	Level 3	No
ELUHO - ELUHO New Case	0.70			4.45.000	4.45.000		.,
Management System	0.78	2	Fully Fund	145,000	145,289	Level 3	Yes
ECY - Improved Stream Mapping	0.77	3	Fully Fund	901,000	900,614	Level 2	No
LCB - Modernization of	0.77	J	Fully Fullu	901,000	900,014	Level Z	INU
Regulatory Systems	0.77	4	Fully Fund	17,450,000	19,514,686	Level 3	Yes
regulatory Systems	0		Fund with	11,100,000	10,011,000	2010.0	100
DOH - HELMS Project Budget	0.76	5	Considerations	6,257,000	6,500,000	Level 3	Yes
PARKS - Outward Facing					, ,		
Website	0.75	6	Fully Fund	561,000	561,000	Level 2	No
DSHS - SILAS Leave			•				
Attendance Scheduling	0.75	7	Fully Fund	10,631,000	5,827,000	Level 4	Yes
ATG - Legal Case Management							
Infrastructure	0.74	8	Fully Fund	2,136,000	2,136,000	Level 2	No
CTS - Washington Enterprise							
Architecture	0.72	9	Fully Fund	1,153,000	1,153,000	Level 3	No
HCA - Electronic Consent							
Management	0.72	10	Fully Fund	2,272,000	2,930,000	Level 2	Yes
WSP - Operational Performance			Fund with				
Reporting	0.71	11	Considerations	716,000	700,350	Level 2	No
DOC - Telepresence Services	0.70	12	Fully Fund	4,577,000	1,563,000	Level 3	No



Table 2 - Ranked List of DPs with IT								
Agency and Decision Package Name	Score	Overall Rank	Funding Recommendation	Requested Budget	IT Portion of Budget	Urgency Score	Gated Funding Recommendation	
ECY - Hazardous Waste & Toxics IT Systems	0.70	13	Fully Fund	204,000	204,073	Level 3	No	
PSP- Restore Salmon Runs	0.70	14	Fund with Considerations	2,576,000	235,272	Level 2	No	
HCA - EHR Expansion	0.69	15	Fully Fund	12,770,000	12,770,000	Level 3	Yes	
DSHS - Integrated Eligibility System	0.67	16	Fully Fund	16,285,000	16,285,000	Level 2	Yes	
DOH - Upgrade Drinking Water System	0.66	17	Fully Fund	1,034,000	769,254	Level 3	No	
COM - SBO Digital Equity HCA - SEBB Maintenance and	0.66	18	Fund with Considerations	4,059,732		Level 2	No	
Operations DVA - Info Tech Security &	0.65	19	Fully Fund	971,000	229,000	Level 3	No	
Infrastructure DOC - OMNI Sentencing	0.65	20	Fully Fund Fund with	442,000	50,000	Level 2	No	
Calculation Module	0.65	21	Considerations	5,658,000	5,658,000	Level 3	Yes	
DSHS - ACES Stabilization SEC - Automating State Library	0.64	22	Fully Fund	785,000	785,000	Level 3	Yes	
Collection DOR - WFTC Implementation	0.64	23	Fully Fund	2,525,000	1,995,000	Level 2	No	
Support ATG - eDiscovery Capacity and	0.63	24	Fully Fund	3,026,000	1,743,000	Level 3	No	
Management DSHS - Fleet Management	0.62	25	Fully Fund	2,065,000	1,871,000	Level 2	No	
System L&I - LCAP Technology Budget	0.62	26	Fully Fund	425,000	425,000	Level 3	No	
Adjustment ECY - Fix & Modernize WQ	0.59	27	Fully Fund	513,000	513,000	Level 3	Yes	
Permit Systems ART - Replace Constituent	0.59	28	Fully Fund	500,000	500,000	Level 3	No	
Mgmt Software WFTB - Career Bridge	0.57	29	Fully Fund	12,000		Level 2	No	
Modernization DSHS - Critical IT Pharmacy	0.57	30	Partially Fund Fund with	917,000	917,000	Level 2	Yes	
Resources SEC- Renovate Website and	0.56	31	Considerations Fund with	1,587,000	850,000	Level 3	Yes	
Hosting Serv	0.55	32	Considerations	258,000	258,000	Level 2	No	
PARKS - Cloud Services	0.55	33	Partially Fund	168,000	168,000	Level 2	No	
L&I - Workers' Comp System Modernization	0.54	34	Fund with Considerations	15,943,000	15,943,000	Level 3	Yes	
DOC - Ombuds Liaison & Response	0.53	35	Fully Fund	975,000	148,000	Level 2	No	
DOH - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula	0.53	36	Fund with Considerations	6,178,000	5,609,000	Level 2	Yes	



Table 2 - Ranked List of DPs with IT								
Agency and Decision Package Name	Score	Overall Rank	Funding Recommendation	Requested Budget	IT Portion of Budget	Urgency Score	Gated Funding Recommendation	
DOC - Custody Classification	0.53	37	Fund with Considerations	1,088,000	1,088,000	Level 3	Yes	
ESD - WorkSource System Replacement	0.52	38	Partially Fund	4,843,000	4,843,000	Level 3	Yes	
WWU- Student Retention and Success	0.52	39	Fully Fund	2,128,000		Level 2	No	
WFTB - Integrated Data Sharing	0.51	40	Fund with Considerations	816,000	816,000	Level 2	No	
DSHS - IT Strategic Roadmap	0.51	41	Partially Fund Fund with	1,185,000	1,185,000	Level 2	No	
HCA -Clinical Data Repository HCA - Community Information	0.51	42	Considerations	2,052,000	3,000,000	Level 2	Yes	
Exchange DSHS - Confidential Client Data	0.51	43	Partially Fund	14,848,000	15,000,000	Level 2	No	
Protection DNR - Statewide Lidar	0.51	44	Do Not Fund	609,000	609,000	Level 2	No	
Acquisition/Refresh DOH - Upgrade Medical	0.49	45	Fully Fund	3,481,000	3,481,300	Level 2	No	
Cannabis Registry	0.49	46	Partially Fund Fund with	2,101,000		Level 2	Yes	
DSHS- LTSS Trust DSHS - National Accuracy	0.49	47	Considerations Fund with	3,070,000	780,000	Level 3	Yes	
Clearinghouse DSHS- Infra Improv & Cloud	0.47	48	Considerations	2,584,000	1,541,000	Level 3	No	
Migration Signature	0.47	49	Do Not Fund	1,320,000	1,320,000	Level 2	Yes	
DSHS - HCBS FMAP Priorities DNR - Adaptive Mgmt Program	0.47	50	Do Not Fund Fund with	324,490,000		Level 2	Yes	
Improvements	0.46	51	Considerations	680,000	185,000	Level 2	No	
HCA - Trueblood Data DRS - Implement Survivor	0.46	52	Partially Fund	1,953,000	1,169,000	Level 3	Yes	
Option Change	0.45	53	Fully Fund Fund with	93,000		Level 3	No	
DSHS - Network Risk Mitigation L&I - Plumber License	0.45	54	Considerations Fund with	6,203,000	6,203,000	Level 2	No	
Implementation DFW - Salmon Recovery and	0.45	55	Considerations	560,000	560,000	Level 2	No	
GMA Integration	0.44	56	Fully Fund Fund with	1,297,000	72,188	Level 2	No	
L&I - Prevailing Wage Program DFW - Building Salmon Team	0.44	57	Considerations	2,990,000	2,363,000	Level 1	Yes	
Capacity	0.42	58	Fully Fund Fund with	931,000		Level 2	No	
L&I - Mental Health Claims	0.41	59	Considerations Fund with	1,137,000	851,000	Level 2	Yes	
L&I - Apprenticeship Technology	0.38	60	Considerations	1,130,000	1,130,000	Level 2	Yes	



Table 2 - Ranked List of DPs with IT								
Agency and Decision Package Name	Score	Overall Rank	Funding Recommendation	Requested Budget	IT Portion of Budget	Urgency Score	Gated Funding Recommendation	
			Fund with					
DRS - Implement Roth 457	0.35	61	Considerations	609,000		Level 2	No	
DSHS - ABD and HEN Human			Fund with					
Trafficking	0.30	62	Considerations	207,000	190,000	Level 1	No	
DSHS - PSRP Information								
Security	0.24	63	Do Not Fund	588,000		Level 1	No	
OSPI -Updating the School								
Funding System	0.22	64	Partially Fund	2,630,000	2,630,000	Level 2	Yes	

Table 2 - Ranked List of DPs with IT



Human Services - Other

Health Care Authority – Agency 107

FD - Electronic Consent Management

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 10 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

 This is a critical component for a modern, effective, health data exchange with providers and other health stakeholders and customers.

Other Funding Considerations:

- Consider a proviso that notes this investment as a foundation data exchange architecture and platform for the coalition at a minimum and develop a flexible architecture that can scale and support expansion.
- The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

DT - Trueblood Data

Funding Recommendation: Partially Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 52 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

- The OCIO recommends this request be revamped to have an upfront Business Process Review / feasibility study, and a design and architecture phase that will feed an RFP process.
- The agency should place more emphasis on the information management/data plan, architecture, platform, and associated tools.

Other Funding Considerations:

• The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

FG - Community Information Exchange

Funding Recommendation: Partially Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 43 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• There is insufficient information to support how the \$12.25M costs for the professional services contract were estimated. Recommend funding for additional feasibility, planning, architecture, and design work



to provide clarity and solidify planning. There are concerns the FTE resources requested will not be enough to support the work associated with the rollout and ongoing support of this statewide system and program.

Other Funding Considerations:

• The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

FW - SEBB Maintenance and Operations

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 19 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

This DP adds resources to support SEBB operations but without specific outcomes and measures.

Other Funding Considerations:

• The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

HC - EHR Expansion

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 15 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

 Strategically aligned 'as a service' concept and supports using an organization outside the state to manage.

Other Funding Considerations:

- Consider funding an overall strategic plan and Joint Powers Authorities (JPA) type charter/contract with the user of this service.
- The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

HV - Clinical Data Repository

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 42 out of 64



Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

None.

Other Funding Considerations:

- Recommend funding at a reduced level to support more upfront work on the business case to outline
 the benefits, value, outcomes and use cases. On the technical side, more architectural design work is
 needed to support an overall feasibility assessment and plan with a clear recommendation on the
 preferred solution. More emphasis on governance is needed to understand how the coalition will
 approach vendor management, sharing data and expansion of Medicaid data. Additional justification is
 needed to understand the capabilities of the "enclave" addition to the current platform and how that is
 better than procuring another solution/platform.
- The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

Department of Labor and Industries - Agency 235

LC - LCAP Technology Budget Adjustment

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 27 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

The project is currently under oversight and subject to gated funding.

Other Funding Considerations:

• The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

AR - Apprenticeship Technology

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 60 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

- The IT portion doesn't show evidence of planning and appears to be largely homegrown, custom development rather than using modern cloud platform technology.
- How does this relate to the agency's prevailing wage program DP?

Other Funding Considerations:

• Consider funding only the business portion of this request or ask the agency for significant clarifications and plans on the IT portion.



 The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

BT - Workers' Comp System Modernization

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 34 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

• This project has recently received limited approval to work on a specific set of activities using in-kind funding. It is unclear when or if this project will begin using currently gated funds, but it is likely that most of FY22 monies will not be used which will impact funding/authorization needed for FY23.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

MH - Mental Health Claims

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 59 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

- The IT efforts, as described in the DP it, have not been well planned. More details should be required in relation to the request legislation activity.
- It is not clear whether there is overlap with the planned L&I WCSM project and how it relates to that scope.

Other Funding Considerations:

- Reguest legislation needs to pass.
- The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

PL - Plumber License Implementation

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 55 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:



 There is no modernization of base architecture or reference to alignment with the statewide technology strategic plan.

Other Funding Considerations:

- The request legislation would need to pass.
- The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

PW - Prevailing Wage Program

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 57 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 1

OCIO Comments:

How does this relate to the agency's apprenticeship DP?

Other Funding Considerations:

- Consider funding the business portion of the DP only. The IT portion doesn't show evidence of planning.
- The agency has a significant amount of work requested and may need to prioritize this project against their project portfolio and make decisions on what projects they can handle.

Department of Health - Agency 303

M3 - HELMS Project Budget

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 5 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

• The project is in the process of updating schedule and budget. This decision package does not match the last investment plan amendment. Questions should be posed to the agency regarding the validity of estimates.

Other Funding Considerations:

With the number of modernization efforts being requested and the COVID work currently overtaxing
their existing resources, the OCIO is unsure if there is capacity to be successful with all the requests
this agency has submitted.

QE - Upgrade Drinking Water System

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written



Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 17 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

 Good justification to align with other states to a common system and move away from a legacy custom system.

Other Funding Considerations:

With the number of modernization efforts being requested and the COVID work currently overtaxing
their existing resources, the OCIO is unsure if there is capacity to be successful with all the requests
this agency has submitted.

QF - Upgrade Medical Cannabis Registry

Funding Recommendation: Partially Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 46 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

Recommend funding some additional feasibility, planning, architecture, and design work.

Other Funding Considerations:

 With the number of modernization efforts being requested and the COVID work currently overtaxing their existing resources, the OCIO is unsure if there is capacity to be successful with all the requests this agency has submitted.

QG - WIC Food Insecurity & Infant Formula

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 36 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• The DP is not clear on the technical solution proposed and thus it is difficult to gauge whether appropriate costs have been identified.

Other Funding Considerations:

With the number of modernization efforts being requested and the COVID work currently overtaxing
their existing resources, the OCIO is unsure if there is capacity to be successful with all the requests
this agency has submitted.



Department of Veteran Affairs - Agency 305

P3 - Info Tech Security & Infrastructure

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 20 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• Consult with Office of Cybersecurity to ensure greatest use of central services rather than potentially duplicating enterprise security and infrastructure at the agency level.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

Department of Corrections- Agency 310

DX - Telepresence Services

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 12 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

Seems like the agency learned a lot during COVID and is well positioned for this investment.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

EA - Custody Classification

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 37 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

 There is a lack of clarity in the DP and in the IT Addendum on what is encompassed in the technology solution and how it will be developed (e.g., use of existing tools or newly developed). As with the sentencing correction DP, the agency should consult with experts to ensure no bias is introduced in algorithmic decisions.

Other Funding Considerations:



None.

EC - OMNI Sentencing Calculation Module

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 21 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

• While the OCIO supports funding this request, the agency should consult with experts to ensure automated decisions are bias free.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

EH - Ombuds Liaison & Response

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 35 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

 The IT portion of this request appears to be for IT position to support data management and technical support.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

Employment Security Department- Agency 540

WS - WorkSource System Replacement

Funding Recommendation: Partially Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 38 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

• Concern that due diligence has not been completed and an RFP is imminent. The associated costs are not explained sufficiently to understand what is being acquired and sufficiency of requested funding. Recommend funding for requirements, feasibility and planning to support a biennial DP.



Other Funding Considerations:



Governmental Operations

Office of the Secretary of State - Agency 085

03 - Renovate Website and Hosting Serv

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 32 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

The new solution appears to impact multiple divisions in the organization however there is not enough information to determine if backfill will be needed for this work. Accessibility is mentioned but it is not clear how it fits into the plan. It is also not clear how end users will be involved to support either way.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

08 - Automating State Library Collection

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 23 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

 Information provided doesn't indicate whether staff readiness and related impacts were considered in planning.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

Office of the Attorney General – Agency 100

MJ - eDiscovery Capacity and Management

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 25 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• The SaaS product hosted in the cloud clearly aligns with statewide strategy and technology directions. Builds on a successful pilot.

Other Funding Considerations:



None.

MI - Legal Case Management Infrastructure

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 8 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

Project to upgrade/replace system used for tracking and managing legal operations across the office.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

Department of Commerce - Agency 103

B3 - SBO Digital Equity

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 18 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• The requested funding level seems adequate for the dashboard but there are concerns that the data collection and data refresh components may be underestimated.

Other Funding Considerations:

• None.

Department of Retirement System - Agency 124

RH - Implement Roth 457

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 61 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• The DP says no IT costs, yet there are allocations for IT staff, and this is an IT update for new functionality. It is not completely clear what technology the agency would be responsible for implementing/maintaining and what the record keeping service provider would do.



Other Funding Considerations:

None.

SV - Implement Survivor Option Change

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 53 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

 This adds to the previously approved plan with additional scope and resource needs which seems straightforward and justified to comply with federal regulations.

Other Funding Considerations:

• None.

Department of Revenue - Agency 140

WF - WFTC Implementation Support

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 24 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

 Adding scope to existing project. Would have liked to see partnership with other agencies and state data sources dealing with fraud mitigation when researching the DP.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

Consolidated Technology Services - Agency 163

B6 - Enterprise Cloud Computing

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 1 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

Cloud adoption is a core component of the Enterprise Technology Strategic Plan. This DP supports
deployment of the enterprise cloud strategy across state agencies. It funds the core building blocks
such as hiring program staff, funding professional services to develop core elements of the cloud



brokerage and center of excellence, enables enterprise cloud operations and tools, funds critical cybersecurity and network components, provides support for early agency migration pilots, and sets stage for longer term migration activities.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

B5 - Washington Enterprise Architecture

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 9 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

This work supports the development of statewide strategies and approaches in direct support of the Statewide Technology Strategic plan. Creates governance foundation which will enable increased data sharing between agencies, improve data safeguards and support development of associated technology roadmaps.

Other Funding Considerations:

• None.

Liquor and Cannabis Board - Agency 195

B1 - Modernization of Regulatory Systems

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 4 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

• The project needs an independent review of the plan going forward and to adjust as indicated by this review. This project is and will remain under OCIO oversight.

Other Funding Considerations:



Education

Superintendent of Public Instruction – Agency 350

A9 -Updating the School Funding System

Funding Recommendation: Partially Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 64 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

- Agency should do feasibility work to look for SaaS or PaaS options and more modern technology approaches.
- The agency did not provide information in the IT Addendum about due diligence and other planning. As a result, the only information available was from the decision package itself.

Other Funding Considerations:

 This work will need to align with the One Washington Program timelines in terms of remediation and readiness.

Workforce Training Board – Agency 354

CB - Career Bridge Modernization

Funding Recommendation: Partially Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 30 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

While the business case for doing something seems clear, it appears the agency is underestimating
core project staffing needs, not planning sufficiently for external stakeholder involvement and needs
more clarity on the technology approach.

Other Funding Considerations:

 There may be a case for funding the early usability and planning in order to support a biennial DP for implementation

DS - Integrated Data Sharing

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 40 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:



As described, this effort seems to overlap with at least one if not more HHS Coalition efforts. It is also
unclear whether any of this work overlaps with activities proposed in the Career Bridge DP. It is unclear
from the available descriptions whether efforts are appropriately resourced. The cross-agency
governance required for this project is complex and does not appear to be in place yet.

Other Funding Considerations:

• The project is credible, however the OCIO recommends partial funding for FY23 to resolve overlap with HHS Coalition efforts, solidify governance and do more detailed planning.

Western Washington University – Agency 380

2C - Student Retention and Success

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 39 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

There is reference to system changes however identifies no specific project(s), just FTE.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

Washington State Arts Commission – Agency 387

FS - Replace Constituent Mgmt Software

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 29 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• Important need and approaching the problem with a feasibility study is the right solution.

Other Funding Considerations:



Human Services - Department of Social and Health Services

Department of Social and Health Services - Agency 300

RZ - Critical IT Pharmacy Resources

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 31 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

• Business need is clear but not clear on whether appropriate due diligence and planning have occurred.

Other Funding Considerations:

 Recommend more detail before full funding on the upgrade and the performance of the vendor and contract term. Confirm if the upgrade plan the agency is following has been successful in other organizations.

3R - Infra Improv & Cloud Migration

Funding Recommendation: Do Not Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 49 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

 Details reflect a lack of overall planning. For example, is this is a proposed lift and shift to the cloud or something else, workforce considerations are not reflected, existing portfolio considerations are not referenced. It assumes a cloud mandate that doesn't exist in statute. DSHS should consider engaging with the Enterprise Cloud Computing program to support a future ask.

Other Funding Considerations:

• If funding any or all of this decision package, consider a proviso to require close coordination with the Enterprise Cloud Computing program.

5E - LTSS Trust

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 47 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

With the long list of new technologies listed in the narrative, more planning and architecture, design
work is needed. The narrative notes that an online tool will be "developed" with no due diligence
supporting this approach. Also reference Agency Contracts database with seemingly no awareness of
One WA.



Other Funding Considerations:

If funded, only the IT portion of the DP should be gated.

FL - ACES Stabilization

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 22 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

• Needs to align with the agile re-development of ACES functionality.

Other Funding Considerations:

• Currently gated, should remain gated.

PM - ABD and HEN Human Trafficking

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 62 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 1

OCIO Comments:

 Agency did not complete all of the IT addendum so only the information contained in the decision package itself was used in prioritization. It was assumed the IT portion of the DP was to support changes to an existing system.

Other Funding Considerations:

Dependent on request legislation passing.

PB - National Accuracy Clearinghouse

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 48 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

 Fund discovery/feasibility work to confirm federal direction, obtain requirements, conduct any feasibility work to shore up estimates and submit an improved DP for biennium.

Other Funding Considerations:



PQ - Integrated Eligibility System

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 16 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

This initial project is in support of the longer-term effort and addresses a current need. It would deploy
a cloud-based technology platform and several key components for future integrated eligibility and
Coalition initiatives.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

KC - SILAS Leave Attendance Scheduling

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 7 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 4

OCIO Comments:

- Funding is requested to expand the implementation of System for Integrated Leave, Attendance, and Scheduling (SILAS) to other agency institutions. SILAS is an existing major IT project under oversight.
- Need to understand the status of conversations with labor and custom configurations of the system based on the various labor contracts and how that impacts the project scope.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

KS - PSRP Information Security

Funding Recommendation: Do Not Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 63 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 1

OCIO Comments:

- Fully support the goals of this DP and in concept the approach seems logical, but it isn't clear if the
 agency has done enough planning around the database and file transfer methods to ensure the desired
 outcomes will be achieved.
- Consult with the WaTech Office of Cybersecurity

Other Funding Considerations:

• An initial step might be funding the equipment which can be encrypted.



KP - Fleet Management System

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 26 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

DSHS found a solution that is utilized by other state agencies.

Other Funding Considerations:

• This might be an area where Department of Enterprise Services could pursue an enterprise solution rather than perpetuate multiple agency specific implementations.

DG - HCBS FMAP Priorities

Funding Recommendation: Do Not Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 50 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• Linking DP to the IT work is near impossible. It is unclear what technology is proposed, it is not clear how any technology spend does or should relate to Coalition work.

Other Funding Considerations:

• Should the business portion be enabled, consider a proviso that would require the agency to work through the Coalition on all technology spend.

GJ - Confidential Client Data Protection

Funding Recommendation: Do Not Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 44 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

This DP doesn't appear to have been coordinated with the WaTech Office of Cybersecurity or reflect an
awareness of enterprise SIEM service. DSHS has not been heavily involved in the statewide SIEM
implementation efforts to date. Once that is rectified, it may be the agency will need to submit a DP for
added resources but as it stands, this DP is for an amount that is higher than statewide costs and it is
unclear what is driving estimates or costs.

Other Funding Considerations:

• In the weeks prior to the creation of the Governor's budget, the agency and Office of Cybersecurity should work to determine what of the agency request should move forward.



GK - IT Strategic Roadmap

Funding Recommendation: Partially Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 41 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• Recommend partial funding and getting the agency to plan and commit to specific projects that can be started and/or accomplished during the fiscal year in question. With a core set of FTEs, the agency could begin with inventories, do stronger ties to Coalition initiatives and opportunities, investigate the Enterprise Cloud Computing office and generally plan better for a biennial DP.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

GD - Network Risk Mitigation

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 54 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• The OCIO believes this is a needed effort.

Other Funding Considerations:

None



Natural Resources and Recreation

Department of Ecology - Agency 461

KM - Fix & Modernize WQ Permit Systems

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 28 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

Recommend the team position itself for the future by looking to a cloud based (SaaS, COTS) options.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

KP - Improved Stream Mapping

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 3 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

Good mentions of data minimization and security.

Other Funding Considerations:

Obtain clarification on staff requests vs. use of contracted resources over the short and longer term.

KZ - Hazardous Waste & Toxics IT Systems

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 13 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

There is a strong, and documented equity perspective included here that stands out well. Good, explicit
information on addressing privacy, security and open data. Would like to see more on the
data/information management reporting and analytics functionality.

Other Funding Considerations:



State Parks and Recreation Commission - Agency 465

CS - Cloud Services

Funding Recommendation: Partially Fund Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 33 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

Partially fund for the facility work. This DP contains three separate requests. The OCIO believes the
facility related request should be funded. For the other two, items, while there is a good business case,
it is not clear what the specific plan/approach is other than the cloud is the targeted location. For
example, is the plan to implement cloud-based versions of existing tools or buy/implement new? What
feasibility work has been done to answer that question? And depending on the answer, the staffing and
implementation needs may be different. There isn't enough information in the DP or the IT Addendum
to answer the question.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

WS - Outward Facing Website

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 6 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• Good plan with a user centered design focus and strategic effort to improve resident engagement.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

Department of Fish and Wildlife - Agency 477

SR - Salmon Recovery and GMA Integration

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 56 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

• Very small IT component in request to support the data and data integration.

Other Funding Considerations:



None.

ST - Building Salmon Team Capacity

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 58 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

None.

Other Funding Considerations:

• There is no mention of a data platform, data warehouse, or data analytical tools so would suggest bringing in an outside contract firm and putting the data management plan and architecture in place as a first step. Recommend the team position itself for the future by looking to the market or cloud based (SaaS, COTS) options as well solutions that have been implemented in other states.

Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office - Agency 468

C1 - ELUHO New Case Management System

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: Yes Position in Ranked List: 2 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 3

OCIO Comments:

Project gated already and scheduled to complete by 6/23.

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

Puget Sound Partnership - Agency 478

02 - Restore Salmon Runs

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 14 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

None



Other Funding Considerations:

• None.

Department of Natural Resources - Agency 490

LI - Statewide Lidar Acquisition/Refresh

Funding Recommendation: Fully Fund as Written

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 45 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

 This is a very business driven program and supports all programs using lidar throughout the state (ECY and PSP with their DPs).

Other Funding Considerations:

None.

AM - Adaptive Mgmt Program Improvements

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 51 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

Additional planning would be valuable to better understand if the requested amount is adequate to meet
the business need. Since there are several solutions still being assessed, the OCIO believes it would
be beneficial to think through the IT effort and ensure approach and amount requested are in line.

Other Funding Considerations:



Transportation

Washington State Patrol - Agency 225

H5 - Operational Performance Reporting

Funding Recommendation: Fund with Considerations

Gated Funding Recommendation: No Position in Ranked List: 11 out of 64

Urgency Score: Level 2

OCIO Comments:

None.

Other Funding Considerations:



Decision Packages Not Scored

M&O Screened Out

The DPs listed in Table 3 request are for M&O related items such as increases in existing licensing, increased FTEs associated with general work but not a specific project, ongoing project costs, etc. Also included are DPs with technology costs that are incidental to opening a facility.

Table 3 - DPs Screened as M&O					
Agency and Decision Package Name	Funding Recommendation	Total DP Amount	IT Portion of Budget (if known)	Gated Funding Recommendation	Reason for Not Scoring
AGR - Pollinator Health	Fully Fund as Written	\$252,000		No	Incidental equipment cost.
	Fully Fund as				
CJTC - FTE Increase	Written	\$383,000	\$120,000	No	Increase IT FTEs.
CJTC - Online Training	Fully Fund as				
Platform	Written	\$823,000	\$750,000	No	Training subscription.
CTS - Sustain Enterprise	Fully Fund as				
Security	Written	\$17,893,000	\$17,893,000	No	Ongoing licensing costs.
DCYF - IT Gated Pool	Fully Fund as	** ** * * * * * * * *	* 4 - 4 - 4 - 6 - 7 	.,	Fund transfer for existing
Funding Transfer	Written	\$1,471,000	\$1,471,000	Yes	project.
DFW - Equipment	Fully Fund as	¢4 000 000	£4 000 000	NI.	Ongoing hardware and
Maintenance and Software	Written	\$1,022,000	\$1,022,000	No	software costs.
DFW - License Reduction and Alt Gear	Fully Fund as Written	\$3,401,000		Na	Incidental FTE costs (.3
DFW - Meeting Increasing	Fully Fund as	φ3,401,000		No	FTE).
Recreation Needs	Written	\$3,518,000	\$135,000	No	Improve existing IT infrastructure/GIS.
DNR - Investment in Agency	Fully Fund as	ψ3,310,000	ψ133,000	INU	FTE increase due to
Infrastructure	Written	\$350,000		No	growth/demand.
iiii doli doldi c	VVIILLOIT	φοσο,σσσ		110	Ongoing software licensing
DOC - Equipment	Fully Fund as				and equipment
Maintenance and Software	Written	\$1,128,000	\$1,128,000	No	replacement.
DOC - IT Reclassifications &	Fully Fund as				·
Appeals	Written	\$296,000	\$148,000	No	FTE related costs.
	Fully Fund as				
DOC - Leased PC's	Written	\$440,000	\$440,000	No	Increased equipment costs.
DOC - Maple Lane	Fully Fund as				
Stewardship	Written	\$1,571,000	\$113,000	No	Additional IT FTE.
DOLL Maintain Cana Dublia	Fully Fund An				Increases in software
DOH - Maintain Core Public	Fully Fund As Written	\$19,088,000	\$5,173,596	No	licensing, maintenance and
Health Systems	VVIILLEII	φ19,000,000	φυ, 173,090	No	support. Increases in software
DOL - POLARIS	Fully Fund as				licensing, maintenance and
Maintenance	Written	\$1,012,000	\$1,012,000	No	support.
DOR - Bothell Field Office	Fully Fund as	, , ,	, , ,		Technology is incidental to
Relocation	Written	\$617,000	\$185,000	No	facility build/remodel.
DOT - IT: DOTime Operating	Fully Fund as		,	-	Ongoing solution and
Costs	Written	\$804,000	\$804,000	No	software costs.



Table 3 - DPs Screened as M&O					
Agency and Decision Package Name	Funding Recommendation	Total DP Amount	IT Portion of Budget (if known)	Gated Funding Recommendation	Reason for Not Scoring
DOT - IT: Program Software	Fully Fund as				
License Costs	Written	\$4,071,000	\$4,071,000	No	Ongoing software costs.
DOT - Tolling: Cust Svc Center (Reapprop)	Fully Fund as Written	\$2,758,000	\$2,758,000	Yes	Reappropriation associated with a project.
DOT - WSF: IT Needs ADA/ORCA/Asset Mgmt	Fully Fund as Written	\$394,000		No	Ongoing software costs and equipment maintenance and additional FTE.
DOT - WSF: Mukilteo Terminal Op Costs	Fully Fund as Written	\$194,000		No	Technology is incidental to facility build/remodel.
DOT- IT: Support Hybrid Work Environment	Fully Fund as Written	\$4,374,000	\$4,374,000	No	Acquire/deploy equipment/software for mobile workforce.
DSHS – Leased PCs	Fully Fund as Written	\$344,000	\$344,000	No	Acquire, deploy and support equipment.
OST - Paperless Contracts & Agreements	Fully Fund as Written	\$34,000	\$34,000	No	Ongoing licensing costs.
SOS - Address Influx of PR Requests	Fully Fund as Written	\$435,000	\$435,000	No	Acquire temporary IT resources to reduce PR backlog.
SOS - Continuity of Critical Operations	Fully Fund as Written	\$617,000	\$617,000	No	Acquire and deploy mobile equipment for workforce.
SOS - Elections Security Operations Team	Fully Fund as Written	\$613,000	\$614,000	No	Continue funding for Security Operations Center.
WSP - Dedicated -Data Network	Fully Fund as Written	\$472,000	\$471,274	No	Ongoing network circuit costs.

Table 3 - DPs Screened as M&O



No IT Addendum

Table 4 contains DPs which the OCIO determined to have an IT component based on narrative in the DP but no IT Addendum. Given these had no IT Addendum, it is hard to validate the IT Budget. Most appear to be M&O type costs or where the IT costs are a fairly insignificant part of a business initiative. There are a couple of proposals that might not themselves be considered IT related but impact IT.

Unless otherwise noted, the OCIO recommendation would be to fully fund the IT portion assuming the entire DP is funded. None of the initiatives on the list would benefit from gated funding.

Table 4 - DPs with No IT Addendum					
Agency and Decision Package Name	Total Biennium Amount	Why categorized as IT			
DCYF – ICWA Notice Reason to Know	\$3,923,000	Includes staff to support increased PDR support.			
DES – Small Agency Cyber Insurance	\$194,000	Cyber Security			
DFW – Freshwater Monitoring	\$2,617,000	.5 IT FTE noted.			
DOC – Electronic Health Records	\$990,000	Costs to prepare for EHR but work is not specifically IT.			
DOH – Continue COVID-19 Vaccinations	\$125,015,000	Includes support for IT systems (WAIIS, PrepMod).			
DOH – COVID Contain Spread	\$212,384,000	Includes support for technology solutions.			
DOR – Penalties and Interest Provisions	-\$1,500,000	Modifications to ATLAS solution.			
DOT – Facilities Wireless Sites	\$90,000	Increase funding for current wireless radio communication sites.			
DSHS – IT & Land Use Contracts Counsel	\$66,000	Increased staff for IT contracting.			
DSHS – IT Security System Integrity	\$658,000	DO NOT FUND based on content of the decision package. It is unclear how this relates to the DSHS Confidential Client Data Protection DP or how the Office of Cybersecurity was involved in the development of the DP and/or whether there is overlap with any enterprise services.			
DSHS – TALX Wage Verification	\$912,000	Subscription fees.			
ECY – Abandoned Mine Lands	\$352,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – Affordable Housing Cleanup Program	\$330,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – Certifying Financial Responsibility	\$287,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – GHG Assessment for Projects	\$538,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – Hanford Dangerous Waste Inspections	\$138,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – Illegal Drug Ops Hazardous Waste	\$1,583,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – Implement Climate Commitment Act	\$2,306,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			



Table 4 - DPs with No IT Addendum					
Agency and Decision Package Name	Total Biennium Amount	Why categorized as IT			
ECY – Land Use and Groundwater	\$407,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY - Oil Spill Contingency Planning	\$333,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – Pollution Prevention Assistance	\$684,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – Prioritize and Complete Cleanups	\$916,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – Protect State Waters from Toxics	\$714,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
Sound	\$439,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
ECY – UST/LUST Inspection Cleanup Backlog	\$1,757,000	Staffing models contain a portion of an IT FTE.			
Evergreen – Interdisciplinary Cybersecurity Certification	\$454,000	Hire faculty to offer course.			
EWU - Cybersecurity	\$2,810,000	Add Cybersecurity degree programs, retrofit lab and acquire video conference equipment.			
SAC – College Access Expansion	\$932,000	Expands an AI texting service.			
SAC – Predictive Modeling for Education	\$400,000	Public facing modeling tool implies some IT.			
SAC – WAVE Program Administration	\$234,000	Indicates portions of IT FTEs needed & portal changes.			
SOS – Digitize Legislative Records	\$315,000	Technology to support digitizing audio records.			
UW – CSE Expansion	\$2,000,000	Build capacity at Allen school, portion of IT FTE.			
WFTCB - Information Technology Equity	\$5,781,000	IT related FTEs are noted in the package plus overall topic.			
WFTCB – WA Award for Vocational Excellence	\$429,000	Appears to be companion to SAC WAVE effort. DP will develop database to support award program.			
WSP – Telecommunications fee Increase	\$74,000	Increased user fees.			

Table 4 - DPs with No IT Addendum